GAICC

ISO/IEC 42001 Lead Implementer

Course Curriculum

This curriculum defines what is taught, in what order, to what cognitive depth, and how each module traces to ISO/IEC 42001:2023. It is the controlling document for the instructor slide set, the class handouts and the student workbook. Every factual claim made in any of those artifacts is governed by the Standard Fact Register.

Field	Value
Document ID	GAICC-CUR-LI42001-001
Version	1.1
Issued	12 October 2026
Credential	ISO/IEC 42001 Lead Implementer
Credential tier	Tier 2
Modules	17 (M0 to M16)
Contact hours	32
Self paced video	About 43 hours of narrated video, measured from the narration script; final audio may differ slightly. Video viewing time is stated separately from the 32 contact hours; the contact hour requirement is unchanged.
Standard	ISO/IEC 42001:2023, First edition, 2023-12
Fact authority	GAICC-QMS-FR-42001-001 (Standard Fact Register v1.0)
Module architecture	GAICC-QMS-MM-42001-001 v1.0

This curriculum contains no fee information. Examination and membership fees are published in the Candidate Handbook and on the certification body website.

1. Purpose and scope

This document specifies the ISO/IEC 42001 Lead Implementer curriculum. It states the learning outcomes for every module, the clauses and Annex A controls each module covers, and the cognitive level at which the material is taught and assessed.

It exists so that three things stay aligned: what is taught, what is examined, and what the standard actually says. Where any course artifact departs from this curriculum, the artifact is a defect.

2. Audience and prerequisites

Practitioners accountable for building or running an AIMS: implementation leads, AI governance managers, compliance and risk leads, consultants.

Prerequisite. ISO/IEC 42001 Foundation is recommended but not required. Candidates entering directly should expect the Foundation material to be covered at implementer depth rather than introduced.

3. Learning architecture

The three implementer track credentials are supersets of one another, not a chain of prerequisites carrying forward untouched material.

Credential	Modules	Treatment
Foundation	M0 to M7	Written at Foundation depth
Lead Implementer	M0 to M16	M0 to M7 rewritten at implementer depth, then M8 to M16
Senior Lead Implementer	M0 to M23	M0 to M16 rewritten at senior depth, then M17 to M23

Why the material is rewritten rather than reused. A module taught at three levels is three different modules. Foundation M3 describes the structure of the standard; Lead Implementer M3 uses that structure to locate requirements and build a clause to artifact map; Senior Lead Implementer M3 interprets the standard where its text admits more than one reading. Sharing one file between credentials would flatten those differences, and it was the mechanism by which factual defects propagated across all six GAICC 42001 credentials. No module file is shared between credentials.

Depth rule for this credential. Design and execution. The learner must be able to build and operate a conforming AI management system: scope it, plan it, produce the documented information, run the processes, and take it to certification. Every Foundation module is rewritten from the implementer's seat, replacing description with method, worked artifacts and decision criteria.

Outcome verbs used at this level. design, produce, select, sequence, justify, diagnose

4. Delivery

Property	Value
Contact hours	32 hours
Self paced video	About 43 hours of narrated video, measured from the narration script; final audio may differ slightly. Video viewing time is stated separately from the 32 contact hours; the contact hour requirement is unchanged.

Property	Value
Module count	17
Delivery modes	Instructor led by a GAICC Authorised Training Partner or Certified Instructor; GAICC self paced course; or equivalent hours from a GAICC recognised institution
Materials per module	Instructor slide deck, class handout with notes, workbook section, module quiz
Formative assessment	One 20 item quiz per module, 40 minutes, 15 single answer and 5 multi select

5. Cognitive level and assessment alignment

The examination blueprint mirrors this distribution. Formative quizzes sit at the same cognitive level as the examination they prepare for, never above it.

Cognitive level	Share of examination
Remember	5%
Understand	15%
Apply	35%
Analyse	30%
Evaluate	15%

Difficulty band. moderate to very-hard, centre of gravity hard. Permitted difficulty vocabulary is easy, moderate, hard and very-hard. No other word is used anywhere a candidate can see.

6. Module schedule

Module	Title	Clauses	Annex
M0	Programme Orientation and Implementer Mandate	n/a	n/a
M1	The Implementer's Case for an AIMS	1	n/a
M2	Preparing the Organisation for Certification	n/a	n/a
M3	Working with the Standard's Architecture	2, 3	A, B, C, D
M4	Scoping and Context Analysis	4, 4.1, 4.2, 4.3, 4.4	n/a
M5	Building the Governance Structure	5, 5.1, 5.2, 5.3	A.2, A.3
M6	Operationalising Impact Assessment	4.2, 6.1.4	A.5, A.9
M7	Implementation Case Workshop	4, 5, 6.1.4	A.2, A.3, A.5, A.9
M8	Planning, Risk and the Statement of Applicability	6, 6.1, 6.1.1, 6.1.2, 6.1.3, 6.1.4, 6.2, 6.3	A.5
M9	Support, Competence and Resources	7, 7.1, 7.2, 7.3, 7.4, 7.5	A.4
M10	Documentation and the Evidence Trail	7.5, 8.1	A.6.2.3, A.6.2.7, A.6.2.8, A.8
M11	Operationalising the AIMS Across the Lifecycle	8, 8.1, 8.2, 8.3, 8.4	A.6, A.7
M12	Emerging AI Risks and Third Party Exposure	6.1.2, 8.2	A.5, A.10
M13	Performance Evaluation and Internal Audit	9, 9.1, 9.2, 9.3	n/a
M14	Nonconformity, Corrective Action and Improvement	10, 10.1, 10.2	n/a
M15	Using AI Tools to Operate the AIMS	7.1, 9.1	A.4.4
M16	Certification Readiness and Examination Preparation	4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10	A

7. Module specifications

Each specification below states the module title at this credential level, the clauses and controls it covers, the learning outcomes, the core content, and the forward looking material that keeps the module current.

M0. Programme Orientation and Implementer Mandate

Coverage	Reference
ISO/IEC 42001 clauses	Not clause specific
Annex A controls	Not control specific
Theme	orientation

Learning outcomes

On completion of this module the learner can:

- Describe how the Lead Implementer credential differs in scope and assessment from Foundation
- Identify the artifacts the learner will produce during the course
- Explain how the implementer's deliverables map to the certification audit evidence trail

Core content

- How the credential is structured and assessed
- Exam format, cut score, and what the Exam Content Outline governs
- How to use the workbook, handouts and toolkit together
- The Standard Fact Register and why every factual claim is traceable
- Learner conduct, appeals and recertification in outline

M1. The Implementer's Case for an AIMS

Coverage	Reference
ISO/IEC 42001 clauses	1
Annex A controls	Not control specific
Theme	case for an AIMS

Learning outcomes

On completion of this module the learner can:

- Construct the business case for an AIMS for a given organisation, including cost, benefit and risk of inaction
- Diagnose which organisational failures an AIMS will and will not fix
- Select the certification scope that best serves the organisation's commercial and regulatory drivers
- Sequence AIMS adoption against existing management system commitments

Core content

- What problem an AI management system solves that existing controls do not
- Scope of ISO/IEC 42001 (Clause 1) and what it deliberately excludes

- Why AI needs a management system: opacity, drift, data dependence, autonomy, distributed accountability
- The management system family and the harmonised structure (formerly Annex SL)
- The regulatory and assurance landscape the standard sits inside

Forward looking content

- Procurement and supply chain pressure as an adoption driver independent of regulation
- Positioning the AIMS to absorb future obligations without redesign

M2. Preparing the Organisation for Certification

Coverage	Reference
ISO/IEC 42001 clauses	Not clause specific
Annex A controls	Not control specific
Theme	certification mechanics

Learning outcomes

On completion of this module the learner can:

- Plan the certification pathway including readiness checkpoints and evidence milestones
- Assemble the evidence pack a Stage 1 audit will request
- Diagnose common causes of Stage 1 delay and design them out in advance
- Draft a corrective action response that will close a nonconformity first time

Core content

- How management system certification works: accreditation, certification body, audit stages
- ISO/IEC 42006 and requirements for bodies auditing AI management systems
- Stage 1 and Stage 2 audits, surveillance and recertification
- Nonconformity grading and corrective action
- The distinction between organisational certification and personnel certification

Forward looking content

- How ISO/IEC 42006 shapes what auditors will be competent to probe
- Integrated audits where the AIMS is certified alongside other management systems

M3. Working with the Standard's Architecture

Coverage	Reference
ISO/IEC 42001 clauses	2, 3
Annex A controls	A, B, C, D
Theme	the standard's architecture and vocabulary

Learning outcomes

On completion of this module the learner can:

- Navigate from a business question to the clause or control that governs it
- Apply the correct defined term in documented information, distinguishing terms that are colloquially interchangeable
- Use Annex B implementation guidance correctly, recognising it as normative
- Construct a clause to artifact map for an implementation

Core content

- Clause 2: exactly one normative reference, ISO/IEC 22989:2022
- The difference between a normative reference, a SOURCE attribution and a Bibliography entry
- Clause 3: 26 defined terms, 3.1 to 3.26, a flat list with no groups
- The four SOURCE attributed terms and the two terms original to ISO/IEC 42001
- Annex status: A and B normative, C and D informative
- Annex A: 38 controls, 9 control clauses, 10 objectives, identifiers A.2.2 to A.10.4
- The harmonised structure and Clauses 4 to 10

Forward looking content

- Tracking terminology drift as ISO/IEC 22989 and the AI vocabulary evolve
- Where 42005 supplies the method that 42001 Clause 6.1.4 and control A.5.2 require

Fact critical module. This module carries facts that were previously taught incorrectly across GAICC materials. Linter rules NR-01, NR-02, NR-03, TM-01, TM-02, TM-04, AX-01, AX-02, AX-03, AX-05 apply. Every artifact for this module must pass the fact linter before release.

M4. Scoping and Context Analysis

Coverage	Reference
ISO/IEC 42001 clauses	4, 4.1, 4.2, 4.3, 4.4
Annex A controls	Not control specific
Theme	scope and context

Learning outcomes

On completion of this module the learner can:

- Produce a context analysis that satisfies Clause 4.1 including the climate change determination
- Build an interested party register with requirements traced to obligations
- Draft a defensible scope statement and justify every boundary and exclusion
- Map the organisation's AI roles per system and derive applicability from them

Core content

- Clause 4.1 external and internal issues, including the climate change determination
- Clause 4.2 interested parties and their relevant requirements
- Clause 4.3 determining the scope of the AI management system
- Clause 4.4 the AI management system itself and its processes

- AI roles as NOTE 1 to Clause 4.1 lists them: AI provider, AI producer, AI customer (including AI user), AI partner, AI subject, relevant authority
- How role determines which requirements and controls apply

Forward looking content

- Scoping where the organisation is simultaneously provider and deployer of different systems
- Handling systems that change role mid lifecycle, such as a fine tuned third party model

M5. Building the Governance Structure

Coverage	Reference
ISO/IEC 42001 clauses	5, 5.1, 5.2, 5.3
Annex A controls	A.2, A.3
Theme	leadership, policy, roles

Learning outcomes

On completion of this module the learner can:

- Draft an AI policy that satisfies Clause 5.2 and control A.2.2 without restating the standard
- Design a governance structure with a defensible allocation of responsibility and authority
- Implement a concern reporting route that satisfies control A.3.3 and will withstand audit
- Establish the policy review cycle required by control A.2.4

Core content

- Clause 5.1 leadership and commitment
- Clause 5.2 the AI policy
- Clause 5.3 roles, responsibilities and authorities
- Controls A.2.2 AI policy, A.2.3 alignment with other organisational policies, A.2.4 review of the AI policy
- Controls A.3.2 AI roles and responsibilities, A.3.3 reporting of concerns
- The governing body (3.22) and its relationship to top management (3.3)

Forward looking content

- Aligning the AI policy with existing information security and privacy policy sets under control A.2.3 without creating contradictions
- Governance for systems that act with delegated authority

M6. Operationalising Impact Assessment

Coverage	Reference
ISO/IEC 42001 clauses	4.2, 6.1.4
Annex A controls	A.5, A.9
Theme	impacts, values, responsible use

Learning outcomes

On completion of this module the learner can:

- Design an AI system impact assessment process satisfying Clause 6.1.4 and control A.5.2
- Conduct an impact assessment on a worked case and document results per control A.5.3
- Distinguish and assess individual, group and societal impacts per controls A.5.4 and A.5.5
- Implement responsible use requirements including intended use boundaries per control A.9.4

Core content

- What responsible AI means inside a management system, as distinct from ethical aspiration
- Clause 6.1.4 AI system impact assessment as a requirement
- Controls A.5.2 to A.5.5 on assessing impacts to individuals, groups and societies
- Controls A.9.2 to A.9.4 on responsible use of AI systems
- Fairness, transparency, explainability, robustness and safety as engineering properties, not slogans
- Where ISO/IEC 23894 and ISO/IEC 42005 supply method

Forward looking content

- Using ISO/IEC 42005 as the method behind the 42001 requirement
- Assessing impacts of systems that adapt after deployment

M7. Implementation Case Workshop

Coverage	Reference
ISO/IEC 42001 clauses	4, 5, 6.1.4
Annex A controls	A.2, A.3, A.5, A.9
Theme	consolidation

Learning outcomes

On completion of this module the learner can:

- Produce a coherent scope statement, AI policy and governance structure for the case organisation
- Conduct and document an impact assessment for the case organisation's highest risk system
- Build the applicability position that will drive the Statement of Applicability
- Sequence the implementation into a defensible programme plan

Core content

- End to end application of everything covered so far to a single worked organisation
- From context and scope through policy and roles to a first impact assessment
- Identifying where the organisation is not yet conformant and what it would take

Forward looking content

- Case organisation carries a mixed estate of procured, fine tuned and in house systems

M8. Planning, Risk and the Statement of Applicability

Coverage	Reference
ISO/IEC 42001 clauses	6, 6.1, 6.1.1, 6.1.2, 6.1.3, 6.1.4, 6.2, 6.3
Annex A controls	A.5
Theme	risk, SoA, objectives, change

Learning outcomes

On completion of this module the learner can:

- Define AI risk criteria and a repeatable risk assessment process meeting Clause 6.1.2
- Produce a risk treatment plan and a Statement of Applicability covering all 38 Annex A controls with justification for inclusion or exclusion
- Apply Clause 6.1.3 b) to verify no necessary control has been omitted
- Set measurable AI objectives under Clause 6.2 and plan changes under Clause 6.3

Core content

- Clause 6.1.1 actions to address risks and opportunities
- Clause 6.1.2 AI risk assessment: criteria, process, repeatability
- Clause 6.1.3 AI risk treatment and the Statement of Applicability
- Clause 6.1.3 b) comparison against the whole of Annex A to verify no necessary control is omitted
- Clause 6.1.4 AI system impact assessment within planning
- Clause 6.2 AI objectives and planning to achieve them
- Clause 6.3 planning of changes
- ISO/IEC 23894 as risk method

Forward looking content

- Aligning the risk process with ISO/IEC 23894 and with enterprise risk management
- Risk sources specific to generative and foundation model deployments

Fact critical module. This module carries facts that were previously taught incorrectly across GAICC materials. Linter rules AX-01 apply. Every artifact for this module must pass the fact linter before release.

M9. Support, Competence and Resources

Coverage	Reference
ISO/IEC 42001 clauses	7, 7.1, 7.2, 7.3, 7.4, 7.5
Annex A controls	A.4
Theme	resources, competence, awareness, communication

Learning outcomes

On completion of this module the learner can:

- Determine and document the resources an AIMS requires under Clause 7.1 and controls A.4.2 to A.4.6

- Build a competence framework for AI roles and evidence it under Clause 7.2
- Design an awareness programme that changes behaviour rather than records attendance
- Establish documented information controls satisfying Clause 7.5

Core content

- Clause 7.1 resources
- Clause 7.2 competence and Clause 7.3 awareness
- Clause 7.4 communication
- Clause 7.5 documented information: creating, updating and controlling
- Controls A.4.2 to A.4.6 covering resource documentation, data, tooling, system and computing, and human resources

Forward looking content

- Competence for roles that did not exist when the framework was written
- Documenting compute and model resources whose provenance is external

M10. Documentation and the Evidence Trail

Coverage	Reference
ISO/IEC 42001 clauses	7.5, 8.1
Annex A controls	A.6.2.3, A.6.2.7, A.6.2.8, A.8
Theme	documented information and transparency

Learning outcomes

On completion of this module the learner can:

- Build the documented information set the standard requires, without inventing documents it does not
- Produce AI system technical documentation satisfying control A.6.2.7 for a defined audience
- Design event logging that satisfies control A.6.2.8 and supports later reconstruction
- Establish external reporting and incident communication routes per controls A.8.3 and A.8.4

Core content

- What documented information ISO/IEC 42001 explicitly requires
- Control A.6.2.3 documentation of AI system design and development
- Control A.6.2.7 AI system technical documentation
- Control A.6.2.8 recording of event logs
- Controls A.8.2 to A.8.5 information for interested parties
- The Statement of Applicability as a controlled document
- Why the AIMS manual is not a requirement of the standard

Forward looking content

- Technical documentation expectations converging with regulatory model and system documentation duties
- Log retention where systems generate volumes that make retention decisions consequential

M11. Operationalising the AIMS Across the Lifecycle

Coverage	Reference
ISO/IEC 42001 clauses	8, 8.1, 8.2, 8.3, 8.4
Annex A controls	A.6, A.7
Theme	operation, lifecycle, data

Learning outcomes

On completion of this module the learner can:

- Implement lifecycle controls A.6.2.2 to A.6.2.8 across development, verification, deployment and operation
- Establish data management processes satisfying controls A.7.2 to A.7.6 including provenance and quality
- Operate the risk and impact assessment cycle required by Clauses 8.2 to 8.4
- Define verification and validation criteria that are meaningful for the system type

Core content

- Clause 8.1 operational planning and control
- Clause 8.2 AI risk assessment in operation, Clause 8.3 AI risk treatment, Clause 8.4 AI system impact assessment
- Controls A.6.1.2 and A.6.1.3 management guidance for responsible development
- Controls A.6.2.2 to A.6.2.8 covering the AI system lifecycle
- Controls A.7.2 to A.7.6 covering data for AI systems
- Nine controls under A.6 and five under A.7

Forward looking content

- Lifecycle control where the model is procured and the organisation controls only fine tuning and deployment
- Data provenance under control A.7.5 when training data lineage is incomplete

Fact critical module. This module carries facts that were previously taught incorrectly across GAICC materials. Linter rules AX-05 apply. Every artifact for this module must pass the fact linter before release.

M12. Emerging AI Risks and Third Party Exposure

Coverage	Reference
ISO/IEC 42001 clauses	6.1.2, 8.2
Annex A controls	A.5, A.10
Theme	risk landscape

Learning outcomes

On completion of this module the learner can:

- Use Annex C risk sources to build a risk register appropriate to the organisation's systems
- Assess generative and foundation model risks including injection, leakage and misuse
- Implement third party controls A.10.2 to A.10.4 including supplier and customer obligations

- Design drift detection appropriate to the deployment

Core content

- Risk sources catalogued in Annex C and how to use an informative annex properly
- Generative and foundation model risks: hallucination, prompt injection, data leakage, misuse
- Third party and supply chain risk, controls A.10.2 to A.10.4
- Model drift, data drift and performance degradation
- Security risks specific to AI systems

Forward looking content

- Agentic risk: tool use, autonomous action, multi agent interaction and blast radius
- Model supply chain risk where a base model changes underneath the deployment

M13. Performance Evaluation and Internal Audit

Coverage	Reference
ISO/IEC 42001 clauses	9, 9.1, 9.2, 9.3
Annex A controls	Not control specific
Theme	monitoring, audit, review

Learning outcomes

On completion of this module the learner can:

- Define what will be monitored and measured under Clause 9.1 and justify the choice
- Design and run an internal audit programme satisfying Clause 9.2
- Prepare and conduct a management review covering every input Clause 9.3 requires
- Select indicators that reveal AIMS effectiveness rather than reporting activity volume

Core content

- Clause 9.1 monitoring, measurement, analysis and evaluation
- Clause 9.2 internal audit and the audit programme
- Clause 9.3 management review, its inputs and outputs
- Choosing indicators that measure AIMS effectiveness rather than activity

Forward looking content

- Monitoring model behaviour as an AIMS input rather than a purely technical concern
- Continuous evaluation where periodic audit is too slow for the deployment cadence

M14. Nonconformity, Corrective Action and Improvement

Coverage	Reference
ISO/IEC 42001 clauses	10, 10.1, 10.2
Annex A controls	Not control specific

Coverage	Reference
Theme	nonconformity, corrective action, improvement

Learning outcomes

On completion of this module the learner can:

- Operate the nonconformity and corrective action process required by Clause 10.2
- Conduct root cause analysis that identifies systemic rather than proximate causes
- Demonstrate continual improvement under Clause 10.1 with evidence rather than assertion
- Close the loop from AI incident through corrective action to control change

Core content

- Clause 10.1 continual improvement
- Clause 10.2 nonconformity and corrective action
- Root cause analysis that reaches system causes rather than individual blame
- Closing the loop from incident to control change

Forward looking content

- Incident response for AI specific failure modes
- Learning loops where the failure is probabilistic rather than deterministic

M15. Using AI Tools to Operate the AIMS

Coverage	Reference
ISO/IEC 42001 clauses	7.1, 9.1
Annex A controls	A.4.4
Theme	tooling

Learning outcomes

On completion of this module the learner can:

- Identify where AI assistance adds value to AIMS operation and where it introduces risk
- Document the AI tools used to operate the AIMS, distinguishing A.4.4 tooling resources for the AI system from resources under Clause 7.1 and control A.4.2
- Establish verification requirements for AI generated content entering documented information
- Apply the AIMS to the organisation's own governance tooling

Core content

- Where AI assistance genuinely helps AIMS operation and where it does not
- Control A.4.4 tooling resources for the AI system, and where AIMS tooling falls instead (7.1, A.4.2, A.9.2 to A.9.4, A.10.3)
- Tool assisted evidence gathering, monitoring and documentation drafting
- The governance paradox: governing the tools used to govern AI
- Verification obligations when AI output enters the evidence trail

Forward looking content

- Agentic assistants operating inside compliance workflows and the oversight they require
- Evidence integrity where documentation is machine generated

M16. Certification Readiness and Examination Preparation

Coverage	Reference
ISO/IEC 42001 clauses	4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10
Annex A controls	A
Theme	readiness and consolidation

Learning outcomes

On completion of this module the learner can:

- Conduct a readiness assessment against Clauses 4 to 10 and all 38 Annex A controls
- Assemble and index the evidence pack for a Stage 2 audit
- Anticipate and prevent the most common audit findings
- Consolidate Lead Implementer knowledge against the Exam Content Outline

Core content

- Readiness assessment against every clause and all 38 controls
- Assembling the evidence pack
- Common audit findings and how to prevent them
- Examination preparation and the Exam Content Outline

Forward looking content

- Readiness where parts of the estate are governed by regulation the auditor will also probe

8. Assessment

Property	Value
Formative	One 20 item quiz per module, 17 quizzes in total
Quiz format	15 single answer multiple choice with four options, and 5 multi select with five options and two or three correct
Quiz duration	40 minutes, two minutes per item
Quiz attempts	10
Summative	One certification examination governed by the Exam Content Outline
Blueprint	The examination mirrors the cognitive distribution in section 5
Result	Provisional result on screen at completion; the Certification Decision Authority confirms the certification decision within 72 hours

9. Factual governance

Every factual claim in every artifact built from this curriculum is governed by GAICC-QMS-FR-42001-001 (Standard Fact Register v1.0), which is verified against the licensed text of ISO/IEC 42001:2023.

The following facts are the ones most often stated incorrectly in AI governance training, including previously in GAICC materials. They are restated here so that no artifact built from this curriculum can repeat them.

Fact	Correct position
Normative references	Clause 2 lists exactly one: ISO/IEC 22989:2022
ISO 9000	Does not appear anywhere in ISO/IEC 42001:2023
Defined terms	26 terms, 3.1 to 3.26, a flat list with no groups
Annex A controls	38 controls, 9 control clauses, 10 objectives
Annex status	A and B are normative; C and D are informative
Control identifiers	A.2.2 to A.10.4; A.6.1 contains only A.6.1.2 and A.6.1.3

Instructors must not supplement these facts from memory or from secondary sources. Where a learner question cannot be answered from the Fact Register, it is escalated rather than answered speculatively.

10. Revision history

Version	Date	Change
1.0	25 August 2026	First issue. Establishes the superset module architecture, restates the corrected fact base, and adds forward looking content to every module.
1.1	12 October 2026	Reissued to match the corrected Lead Implementer course. The EU AI Act obligation calendar is removed, so the credential names no law. The harmonised structure is described as formerly Annex SL. The AI roles follow NOTE 1 to Clause 4.1, including AI customer (including AI user). The M15 outcome and the A.4.4 enabler distinguish A.4.4 tooling

Version	Date	Change
		resources for the AI system from the tools used to operate the AIMS (Clause 7.1, A.4.2, A.9.2 to A.9.4, A.10.3), as the course teaches. The result wording matches the Examination Content Outline. Self paced video viewing time (about 43 hours, measured from the narration script) is stated separately from the 32 contact hours, which are unchanged. Module numbering and titles are unchanged.